

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/642,819 08/19/2003 Joseph Steven Thum 3096 EXAMINER 7590 07/07/2005 Thomas E. Beall, Jr. LEE, KEVIN L P.O. BOX 143 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Wye Mills, MD 21679 3753

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	
\	10	
/	18	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/642,819	THUM, JOSEPH STEVEN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	KEVIN L. LEE	3753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,8,9 and 13-20 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,4-7 and 10-12 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>August 19</u> , 2003.	. 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 8, 9 and 13-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robbins (U.S. Patent No. 5,033,702) in view of Paepke et al (U.S. Patent No. 3,897,923). The patent to Robbins discloses an attachment (12) for the outlet end of a recreational vehicle sewage discharge hose (11) and having a normal use position adjacent a vertical downward opening sewage inlet to a sewage receptacle. The attachment comprises a body having two vertical, spaced apart, substantially parallel sidewalls (12a, 12b) and an additional wall (12c, 12d) rigidly connecting the sidewalls to form a partially enclosed space. The body (12) in the use position illustrated in Figure 1 is readable as a one-piece body. The two sections (12a, 12b) are attached to form the one-piece body. The body lacks having a rigid abutment to engage the hose and resist removal of the hose from the partially enclosed space. The patent to Paepke et al teaches the above exception in providing a support for a flexible, corrugated hose with detents (36) to engage the hose and resist removal of the hose from the partially enclosed space, col. 4, lines 23-33. In view of the teaching of Paepke et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

the invention to modify the attachment of Robbins to include detents to engage the hose and resist movement of the hose from the partially enclosed space.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4-7 and 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Bland (U.S Patent No. 6,786,462) is cited for the additional showing of an attachment for a sewage discharge hose.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN L. LEE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GENE MANCENE can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,819

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JULY 5, 2005

**Kevin Lee** Primary Examiner Page 4